IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 184 OF 2004

Smt. Shantabai Murlidhar Rajput ...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Vithabai @ Akka @ Zitkar
Bhagwansingh Girase ...Respondent

.....

Mr. Patil h/f Mr.P.N. Joshi, counsel for Petitioner

Mr. P.P. Kakade, counsel for Respondent No.2.

.....

CORAM: B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.
DATED: 5TH MAY, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner who was admittedly a defendant before the trial Court in Regular Civil Suit No. 346 of 2000. It is his case that inspite of rejection of the application at Exh.5 in the said suit, the plaintiff has issued some public notices which are contrary to the observations made rejecting the application for temporary injunction. If the petitioner claims that the injunction application has been breached, he may approach the trial Court by filing an application under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of C.P.C.

2. The contempt petition is, therefore, disposed of with liberty as is available in law.

*****